



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE **PARK** G P54766 08/922,300 09/02/97 **EXAMINER** PM82/0225 MARC COLEMAN M ROBERT E BUSHNELL ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ATTORNEY AT LAW STE 300 3661 1522 K ST NW WASHINGTON DC 20005-1202 **DATE MAILED:** 02/25/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

1- File Copy

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/922,300

Applicant(s)

Park

Examiner

Marthe Marc-coleman

Group Art Unit 3661



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 7, 2000	·
🔀 This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is se is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failu application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extendig CFR 1.136(a).	are to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ving Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	s of the priority documents have been
received.	
\square received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	
\square received in this national stage application from the	he International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	·948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to applicant's request for reconsideration filed on January 7, 2000.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant admitted prior art in view of Hamaguchi et. al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,430,596)

In regard to claims 1 and 4, Applicant discloses in the background of the invention:

- a pulse width modulation (PWM) controller for generating a PWM signal under the control of the microcomputer;
- a current amplifier for amplifying current in response to the PWM signal from the PWM controller;
- -a horizontal/vertical (H/V) processor for driving a horizontal driver under the control of a microcomputer;
- the H/V processor outputs a horizontal pulse signal of square wave to the horizontal driver under the control of a microcomputer;

Application/Control Number: 08/922,300

Art Unit: 3661

- a horizontal deflection coil is mounted to the neck of a display device so that electron beams can

be deflected to the left or right according to a direction of current flowing through the coil:

- an S correction capacitor applies a parabola voltage to the horizontal deflection coil to correct a

linearity of center-to-left and right sides of a screen of the display device;

- a horizontal output circuit for supplying current to a horizontal deflection coil and an S-

correction capacitor in response to output signals from the current amplifier and horizontal drive;

- a horizontal/vertical (H/V) processor constant voltage circuit for supplying a constant voltage to

the H/V processor to drive it;

Applicant admitted prior art does not disclose a power interruption delay charging means.

Hamaguchi et al. disclose a CRT protection circuit for detecting an overcurrent or an overvoltage

to a CRT to protect the CRT (see abstract and Fig. 4, and Fig. 1 circuit 16).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize

Hamaguchi et al.'s power regulator circuitry with Applicant admitted prior art because it would

protect the CRT display (see Hamaguchi et al. abstract).

In regard to claim 2, Hamaguchi et al. disclose:

- a polarity capacitor for performing charging operation and a diode connected to the polarity

capacitor for preventing a voltage on the polarity capacitor from being discharged (see Fig. 1

circuit 16).

In regard to claim 3, Applicant admitted prior art discloses:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 08/922,300 Page 4

Art Unit: 3661

- a power supply circuit is adapted to convert commercial alternating current (AC) into direct

current (DC) (see page 2 of the background of the invention lines 1-2).

- a horizontal deflection circuit under the control of a microcomputer, receiving said direct current

input voltage, for horizontally deflecting electron beams generated in the cathode ray tube (see

page 2 background of the invention lines 5-8);

- a display device having a set protection function upon generation of abnormal voltage (see

abstract and Fig. 4, and Fig. 6 circuit 120).

- a polarity capacitor for performing charging operation and a diode connected to the polarity

capacitor for preventing a voltage on the polarity capacitor from being discharged (see Fig. 6

circuit 120).

4. The prior arts made of record and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure (e.g. Leaver, Arai et al., Jackson et al., Morrish, Hamaguchi et al., Choi, Jung et al.,

Walker et al., Lendaro).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 8-11 are allowable because none of the references, either singularly or in

combination, teach or fairly suggest:

Application/Control Number: 08/922,300 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

" one terminal of said primary coil being connected to an output terminal of said pulse width

modulation controller through a capacitor and another terminal of said primary coil being

connected to the ground terminal;

said field effect transistor having a drain terminal connected to a high voltage source and a source

terminal connected in common to a second terminal of said secondary coil and one other side of a

pulse transformer;

said pulse transformer having a second side connected to one side of said horizontal deflection

coil;".

6. Claims 5-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In regard to claims 5-7, none of the references, either singularly or in combination, teach or fairly

suggest:

" one terminal of said primary coil being connected to an output terminal of said pulse width

modulation controller through a capacitor and another terminal of said primary coil being

connected to the ground terminal;

Art Unit: 3661

said field effect transistor having a drain terminal connected to a high voltage source and a source terminal connected in common to a second terminal of said secondary coil and one other side of a pulse transformer;".

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on January 7, 2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that "the Examiner has not identified where the foregoing feature of claim 1 is found in the applied art. Ex parte Levi, 17USPO2d 1461, 1462 (1990) states: it is incumbent upon the examiner to identify wherein each and every facet of the claimed invention is disclosed in the applied reference.".

It is important for the applicant to note that In Ex parte Levengood, 28USPO2d, 1301, the Court stated that "Obviousness is a legal conclusion, the determination of which is a question of patent law. Motivation for combining the teachings of the various references need **not to be** explicitly found in the references themselves, In reKeller, 642 F.2d413, 208USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). Indeed the examiner may provide an explanation based on logic and sound scientific reasoning that will support a holding of obviousness. Therefore Hamaguchi et al. does not have to explicitly said that "gradually lowering said input voltage". Since circuit 16 of Hamaguchi et al. is equivalent to the power interruption circuitry claimed by the applicant. The rejection is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 08/922,300

Art Unit: 3661

11.

Applicant argues that "the examiner has not provided a prima facie explanation of how one of ordinary skilled in the art would have been motivated to utilize rectifier 16 to perform the feature of gradually lowering said input voltage and the Examiner has not identified where this aspect of the claimed invention is taught by the combined art".

Page 7

<u>In re Fine</u>, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988); <u>In re Jones</u>, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

The rational to modify or combine the prior art does not have to be expressly stated in the prior art; the rational may be expressly or implied contained in the prior art or it may be reasoned from knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, established scientific principles. In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988); In re Jones, 958 F. 2d 347, 21USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). See also In re Eli Lilly & Co., 902 F.2d 943, 14 USPQ2d 1741 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (discussion or reliance on legal precedent); In re Nilssen, 851 F 2d 1401, 7USPQ2d 1500, 1502 (Fed. Cir. 1988) (references do not have to explicitly suggest combining teachings).

Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 08/922,300

Page 8

Art Unit: 3661

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS

from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the

date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to Marc-Coleman Marthe whose telephone number is (703) 305-4970. The examiner can be

reached from Monday through Friday 7:30AM to 4:00PM.

If any attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William Cuchlinski, can be reached at (703) 308-3873

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1111.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-8623, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Art Unit: 3661

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park V, 2451 Crystal drive, Arlington. VA., Seventh Floor (Receptionist).

Patent Examiner

Mym

Marc-Coleman Marthe

February 17, 2000

WILLIAM A. CUCHLINSKI, JR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600